

Georges Lemaître: Priest, Scientist and University Professor – A Historical and Biographical Study

Florian Ionita

PhD Cand. Eng., Catholic Theology Faculty, Bucharest University, Romania, florianionitaro@gmail.com

Abstract: This article presents a historical and biographical study of Georges Lemaître (1894–1966), the Belgian Catholic priest, physicist, and university professor best known for the primeval-atom hypothesis that anticipated Big Bang cosmology. Rather than revisiting his scientific contributions in detail, the study examines Lemaître's personal character and everyday conduct as a priest, teacher, and colleague, drawing on the biographical accounts of Dominique Lambert, Valérie De Rath, and Vincent Engel, together with testimonies from his students and collaborators. The article argues that Lemaître's capacity to sustain a demanding scientific career alongside his priestly and pastoral duties, without apparent tension between the two, offers a historically significant case study of the lived compatibility between religious vocation and scientific work in the twentieth century. Organized chronologically around his early life, his years as a young professor, and his later career, the study surveys anecdotal and testimonial evidence of his personality while noting that such sources, largely favorable and often secondhand, call for a cautious rather than a celebratory reading. The article concludes that Lemaître's biography, read critically, illustrates rather than proves the complementarity between faith and science that recurs throughout his own writings.

Keywords: Georges Lemaître, Biography, Priest, Scientist, University Professor, Personality, Twentieth Century, Historical Study

1. Introduction

This article offers a historical and biographical study of Georges Lemaître (1894–1966), the Belgian Catholic priest, physicist, and university professor whose 1927 work on an expanding universe and 1931 hypothesis of a primeval atom anticipated what would later be called Big Bang cosmology. Lemaître's scientific contribution has received growing recognition in recent decades, most visibly in the International Astronomical Union's 2018 decision to rename Hubble's law as the Hubble–Lemaître law; considerably less scholarly attention, by contrast, has been given to his personal character and everyday conduct as a priest, teacher, and colleague. The aim of this article is accordingly specific: to survey and critically assess the available biographical evidence concerning Lemaître's personality and daily life, drawn chiefly from the biographies of Dominique Lambert, Valérie De Rath, and Vincent Engel, in order to ask what this evidence can, and cannot, responsibly support about the relationship between his priestly vocation and his scientific career. Unless otherwise indicated, the biographical narrative that follows draws on these three sources; direct quotations are cited individually with their specific page numbers.

The relevance of this inquiry lies in the fact that Lemaître is more often discussed as a case study in the philosophy of science and religion— as the priest whose cosmology was, for a time, read as either confirming or threatening theological claims about creation—than as a historical figure whose ordinary conduct might itself bear on that same debate. A biographical study cannot resolve philosophical questions about the compatibility of faith and science, but it can document how one particular scientist-priest appears, in the recollection of his contemporaries, to have lived that compatibility on a daily basis, which is a more modest and more verifiable claim.

The information available on Lemaître's private life remains comparatively limited, and is found primarily in a small number of biographical studies by Belgian authors; this scarcity itself is worth noting, since it means that the picture of his personality assembled below rests on a narrow evidentiary base, largely composed of secondhand recollections collected after his death. With this caveat in view, the article proceeds chronologically. Section 2 covers Lemaître's early life, education, and first years as a professor at the Catholic University of Louvain (UCL). Section 3 covers his later career, from the Second World War to his death in 1966. Section 4 offers

concluding observations on what this material suggests, and does not settle, about the relationship between his vocation and his science.

Georges Lemaître was the first son of Joseph Lemaître and Marguerite Lannoy, and was born in Charleroi, Belgium, on July 17, 1894. According to his biographers, the genealogy of his extended family can be traced back to the seventeenth century (De Rath, 1994; Lambert, 2000). He had three brothers, Jacques, Maurice, and André, of whom only Jacques (b. 1896) and Maurice (b. 1898) survived infancy; André, born in 1901, died the same year. His mother Marguerite, described in these accounts as a devoutly religious woman, is credited with instilling in Georges a deep religious formation from an early age. The family is generally described as belonging to the Catholic bourgeoisie of the period, with a strong emphasis on discipline and the education of children. In September 1904, Lemaître was admitted to the Jesuit College Sacré-Cœur in Charleroi, where he studied for six years; his biographers describe him as an average student overall, though one who showed a particular aptitude for mathematics, physics, and chemistry. Among his teachers were the Jesuit priests Father Franz Charlier (1880–1963), who taught poetry, Father Ernest Verreux (1878–1938), who taught physics, and Father Albert Goosens, who taught rhetoric (Lambert, 2000).

On July 11, 1911, Lemaître enrolled in the Special Schools of Mines, Civil Construction, Manufacturing Arts, Architecture, and Electricity at Louvain, intending to become an engineer. He appears to have had limited motivation for this course of study, and enrolled concurrently in the Institute of Thomistic Philosophy founded by Cardinal Mercier, eventually obtaining a complementary diploma in Thomistic philosophy. His studies were interrupted by the outbreak of war in 1914, when he volunteered for the army alongside his brother Jacques. He served throughout the war as a non-commissioned officer and ended his service with the rank of adjutant, while Jacques was commissioned as a second lieutenant of artillery; Lemaître's own later recollection of his wartime service was characteristically self-deprecating: "I enlisted, L'Yser. The war like everyone else... I finished it as an adjutant. Why not an officer? Maybe because I had a bad character. No less than my commander" (Lemaître, as cited in Lambert, 2000, p. 39).

Following the war, Lemaître resumed his studies at the Catholic University of Louvain and abandoned his engineering training in favor of a doctorate in physical and mathematical sciences. In 1920 he entered the Saint-Rombaut house, an annex of the major seminary of the Archdiocese of Mechelen founded by Cardinal Mercier, where he continued his scientific studies in relativity while beginning his formation for the priesthood. He was ordained a priest on September 22, 1923, at the Saint-Rombaut Cathedral in Mechelen, and subsequently studied at Cambridge under Arthur Stanley Eddington and Ernest Rutherford before moving, in 1924, to the Harvard College Observatory and MIT in Cambridge, Massachusetts.

In 1927, alongside his appointment as professor at the Catholic University of Louvain, Lemaître published the article that first proposed a model of a homogeneous, expanding universe, anticipating what would become known as the Hubble law. Four years later, in 1931, he published "The Beginning of the World from the Point of View of Quantum Theory" in *Nature*, putting forward the hypothesis of the primeval atom (Lambert, 2000, p. 112). During the Second World War, he remained in Belgium, continuing to teach at UCL and participating in the Royal Belgian Academy, of which he became a member in 1940. In 1946, he published *The Primeval Atom Hypothesis*, with a preface by the philosopher and mathematician Ferdinand Gonseth. He participated in the eleventh Solvay Congress in 1958, where he reiterated that the primeval-atom hypothesis carried no philosophical or religious implication, and was appointed president of the Pontifical Academy of Sciences in 1960, a position he held until shortly before his death.

Lemaître died in Brussels on June 20, 1966, shortly after learning from his assistant, Odon Godart, about Arno Penzias and Robert Wilson's discovery of the cosmic microwave background radiation, which would be interpreted as strong empirical support for his primeval-atom hypothesis. This brief chronological sketch establishes the main stages of Lemaître's life and

career; the sections that follow examine, in more detail and with more attention to personal testimony, his conduct and character during the early and later parts of that career.

2. Georges Lemaître as a Young Professor

Lemaître's biographers describe a childhood shaped by a strong attachment to family, particularly to his mother Marguerite and his brother Jacques, alongside an early appreciation for the arts and for sport (Lambert, 2000). In 1914, while planning a cycling trip to the Tyrol with Jacques and a friend, Carlo Gerard, the outbreak of war led both brothers to volunteer for the army's bicycle communications unit, in which Georges served as a courier between the colonel and the artillery batteries. Sources describe him as displaying an independent and critical disposition during his military service, which some superiors reportedly regarded as insubordination and which may account for why he ended the war as an adjutant while his brother was commissioned as an officer (De Rath, 1994).

After the war, Lemaître is described as having enjoyed periods of leisure with his brother Maurice, a railway engineer and musician, and as having taken up photography, travel, and the study of Chinese, a skill that would later serve him in his pastoral work with Chinese students. His later appointment to the Belgian-Italian commission for cultural exchange gave him occasion to travel throughout Italy. According to accounts collected by his biographers, Lemaître had an outgoing and humorous disposition and enjoyed putting colleagues and students at ease. For example, one frequently repeated anecdote recounts that when Ernest Rutherford noticed the young priest Lemaître attending his lectures for the first time and asked whether his lessons on radioactivity interested him—given that Lemaître was known to work with Eddington—Lemaître is said to have replied that he was there only to improve his English (Lemaître, as cited in De Rath, 1994, p. 34). Such anecdotes should be read as illustrative of the reputation Lemaître acquired among colleagues and students rather than as independently verified fact, since they typically survive through secondhand recollection rather than contemporary documentation.

According to his biographers, Lemaître took an early interest in the practical mechanization of scientific calculation, and is credited with proposing courses in programming and numerical analysis to his students and with helping to secure funding for UCL's first calculating machines (Lambert, 2000). His correspondence with his family, cited by De Rath (1994), suggests a continued closeness with his mother and brothers; his brother Maurice, an engineer, is reported to have consulted him on technical problems, including a 1961 letter concerning a central-heating system. Within the family, Lemaître is remembered as an enthusiastic amateur pianist.

As a professor of relativity from 1925 onward, Lemaître is described by former students and colleagues, including Odon Godart, as an engaging if somewhat unconventional teacher, known for combining prepared material with improvisation and for encouraging students to participate directly in working through equations on the board (Lambert, 2000). Multiple anecdotes describe his sense of humor in the classroom, including his habit of joking with students about their names. In one anecdote, Godart describes an exchange with a student named Andrée Lederer that ended with Lemaître humorously asking how there could be two of her, after mistaking her brother for another sibling at the door. At the end of one academic year, a student reportedly addressed him on behalf of the class in the following terms: “Mr. Professor, before leaving this year, we would like to express our gratitude to you... What struck us about you was your eminent scientific and technical competence, but above all the human aspect that you brought... we will always remember in our thoughts the man and the priest Lemaître” (De Rath, 1994, p. 48). As with the preceding anecdotes, this testimony should be read as a favorable recollection offered after the fact rather than as a contemporaneous or independently corroborated assessment.

Biographers describe Lemaître as approachable despite his academic standing, and as someone who devoted considerable personal attention to his students, particularly those from China. He is reported to have helped Chinese students financially and academically, including the physicist Tchang Yong Li, and to have begun, from 1927 onward, an apostolate directed at foreign

students at Louvain connected to his membership in the *Fraternité Sacerdotale des Amis de Jésus* (FSAJ). Of this work he is quoted as saying: “My basic mission is much broader than I knew... I think about what Picard entrusted me with, to take care of his international circle. If I hadn't started something like this, I would never have been able to do anything” (Lemaître, as cited in Lambert, 2007, p. 93). He became director of the Chinese House at UCL in 1929, and was involved in the administration of scholarships for Chinese students, a role from which he was reassigned in 1930 following disagreements over how the aid program should be administered (Lambert, 2000).

Several anecdotes concern habits that his biographers present as minor personal foibles rather than virtues: an enjoyment of pastries, described in his own words as tempering the sin of gourmandise (“being a gourmet is a sin, but it is very small”, as cited in Lambert, 2000), and a struggle with tobacco that he recorded in a private notebook: “We are on Earth to save our souls... the attachment to tobacco... it is impossible for me to find a serious utility in smoking... That is why I depend on a superfluous approach” (Lemaître, as cited in De Rath, 1994, p. 51). His biographers describe him as continuing to smoke, in reduced measure, for the remainder of his life.

Accounts of Lemaître's international reputation describe a somewhat uneven relationship with the press, particularly following his meeting with Einstein in Pasadena, where the two are reported to have discussed physics over a shared pipe and cigar; Einstein reportedly discouraged Lemaître from framing the primeval atom in terms of creation, while also remarking, according to these same secondary sources, that Lemaître understood relativity better than most (Lambert, 2000). He received the Francqui Prize in 1933 and was honored by King Leopold III in 1934. Biographers also record a habit of paying courteous compliments to women present at social occasions, including, on one occasion, presenting flowers to the Belgian queen, a gesture that reportedly drew mild disapproval from university authorities; on such occasions he is said to have made a standing toast: “I believe I am missing one of the most sacred duties if I do not raise a glass to the health of the mistress of the house and the ladies who honor us with their presence at this family celebration” (Lemaître, as cited in De Rath, 1994, p. 69). Read alongside the other anecdotes in this section, this material is best understood as evidence of a sociable and informal personal style, rather than as evidence bearing directly on his scientific or theological views.

Lemaître also maintained an interest in literature, and is reported to have developed, and defended for several years in his private notebooks and in at least one student lecture, an idiosyncratic theory attributing several of Molière's plays to Louis XIV—a theory not accepted by literary scholars, but cited by his biographers as evidence of the breadth of his intellectual curiosity beyond physics (Lambert, 2000).

3. Georges Lemaître in His Later Career

Lemaître's later years were marked by both personal loss and professional distinction. He survived a bombing raid on May 11–12, 1944, in which his apartment at UCL was destroyed, and was hospitalized for shock; his biographers note that he subsequently cared for his mother until her death in 1956, a responsibility his accounts describe as demanding but one he appears to have discharged without complaint (De Rath, 1994). After the war, he continued his scientific work while remaining involved in pastoral responsibilities toward Chinese students and, later, other foreign students at Louvain, a role that his biographers connect to his broader involvement with the FSAJ (Lambert, 2000).

Several episodes recorded in the biographical literature illustrate Lemaître's approach to institutional rules he considered excessive. According to De Rath (1994), he objected to ecclesiastical regulations forbidding priests from attending theater performances, and defended his own attendance at a concert in a letter to Vice-Chancellor Suenens: “Sir, monks should not be censured or held accountable on the grounds that they are responsible for what they do as teachers... I think this matter of principle is settled similarly to gymnastic exercises” (Lemaître, as cited in De Rath, 1994, p. 89). The correspondence reportedly escalated to Cardinal Van Roey, who upheld the stricter position; the episode is presented by his biographers as characteristic of a

broader pattern in which Lemaître distinguished, not always successfully, between what he considered reasonable personal conduct and the formal expectations of his ecclesiastical superiors.

A further episode concerns the 1955 civil marriage of his niece, Odette, which reportedly caused disagreement within the wider Lemaître family; according to De Rath (1994), Georges advised his brother that the matter was one of individual conscience and that Odette's decision should be respected, a position credited with allowing the marriage to proceed with the family's presence. This episode, along with an account of a car trip to Italy taken with the astronomer Fred Hoyle—with whom Lemaître had a long-standing and often public scientific disagreement over competing cosmological models—is presented in the biographical literature as evidence that Lemaître distinguished intellectual disagreement from personal relationships. As with the anecdotes discussed in Section 2, these accounts derive from personal recollection recorded after the fact and should be read with corresponding caution.

Lemaître's biographers describe him in his later teaching career as continuing to cultivate an informal and humorous rapport with students; André Deprit, for example, recalled an occasion on which Lemaître, noticing an unusually silent lecture hall, interrupted his own lecture to ask, "But what's up with you today, are you sick? ... But I can't teach like this!" (Lemaître, as cited in De Rath, 1994, p. 107), a remark reported to have become something of a yearly ritual with incoming students. His absent-mindedness in administrative matters is also recorded: according to Deprit, Lemaître's assistant, Andrée Bartholomée, effectively managed his working routine, since "Lemaître was incapable of being an authoritarian teacher and suggesting directions. We knew his style through successive consents" (Deprit, as cited in De Rath, 1994, p. 109). Taken together, this material portrays a working style dependent on close collaborators, rather than one of centralized personal control, a portrayal consistent across several independent witnesses, which lends it somewhat greater credibility than the more isolated anecdotes discussed elsewhere in this article.

His mother's death in 1956 is described by his biographers as a period of visible difficulty for Lemaître, who had cared for her personally for many years and who reportedly showed signs of low mood following her death (De Rath, 1994). This episode is one of the few points in the biographical record where sources describe him as anything other than consistently even-tempered, and is worth noting precisely because it complicates an otherwise uniformly positive portrait. His appointment as president of the Pontifical Academy of Sciences in 1960 is described as a significant point of renewal in his later career, during which he is credited with recruiting scientists to the Academy without regard to their religious affiliation. He was also involved, without occupying a formal role, in efforts to mediate the linguistic disputes at UCL that eventually led to the university's division along linguistic lines in the 1960s; according to Lambert (2000), his efforts in this regard were unsuccessful, though he is reported to have continued to regard Louvain as his intellectual home.

4. Conclusions

The biographical record surveyed in this article does not support a picture of Georges Lemaître as two separate personas—a pious member of the FSAJ on one hand and a scientist indifferent to religious matters on the other. Rather, the recurring theme across the anecdotes collected by his biographers is one of an unforced coexistence between his priestly and scientific vocations, evident less in any explicit argument he made for their compatibility than in the ordinary, day-to-day pattern of his conduct: teaching relativity in the morning and hearing confessions or corresponding with Chinese students in the afternoon, without, as far as the sources indicate, treating either as subordinate to the other.

This pattern is consistent with the position Lemaître articulated explicitly in his own writings and addresses, discussed at length elsewhere in the scholarly literature on his thought: that theology and science represent two distinct and methodologically autonomous paths to truth, neither reducible to the other, and that conflating them, in either direction, risked either denying the transcendence of God or obstructing the legitimate exercise of scientific reason. The biographical

material examined here does not, by itself, establish that this theoretical position was correct; it does, however, suggest that Lemaître lived as though it were, and that his contemporaries perceived him as doing so.

At the same time, several methodological limitations of this material should be stated plainly rather than passed over. The great majority of the anecdotes discussed in Sections 2 and 3 derive from a small number of biographical studies, themselves substantially dependent on the recollections of Lemaître's former students and collaborators, collected well after the events they describe and, in most cases, after Lemaître's death. Such testimony is almost uniformly favorable, which may reflect an accurate picture of a genuinely well-regarded colleague and teacher, but may equally reflect the ordinary tendency of posthumous recollection to smooth over friction and disagreement. The one clearly documented departure from this pattern—his visible difficulty following his mother's death in 1956—is worth weighing precisely because its presence in an otherwise consistently positive record suggests the sources are not simply repeating a fixed hagiographic template, though it remains a single data point. A more complete assessment of Lemaître's character would require comparison with independent archival sources, such as correspondence or institutional records from the period itself, which lie beyond the scope of this article.

With this caveat in view, the recognition of Lemaître's scientific contribution—formalized belatedly in the International Astronomical Union's 2018 renaming of Hubble's law as the Hubble–Lemaître law—invites a parallel, if more modest, historiographical point about his biography. Just as his scientific priority was for decades underrepresented relative to Hubble's, the everyday texture of his life as a working scientist-priest has received comparatively little independent scholarly scrutiny, most existing accounts being biographical rather than critical in orientation. This article has attempted a first step toward a more critical treatment of that material, organizing it chronologically and flagging, where possible, the specific source and page for each anecdote and quotation, so that future research can build on, qualify, or correct it as further primary material becomes available.

In sum, the case of Georges Lemaître offers a historically significant, if evidentially limited, example of a scientist who appears, on the available testimony, to have sustained a demanding research career and an active priestly vocation without experiencing them as being in tension. This biographical picture illustrates, rather than independently proves, the complementarity between faith and science that Lemaître articulated in his own scientific and philosophical writings. Readers should weigh the anecdotal evidence presented here accordingly, as a body of testimony consistent with that complementarity rather than as conclusive demonstration of it.

References

- De Rath, V. (1994). *Georges Lemaître, le père du big bang*. Édition Labor.
- Engel, V. (2013). *Le prêtre et le Big Bang*. Jean-Claude Lattès.
- Godard, O., & Heller, M. (1978). Les relations entre la science et la foi chez Georges Lemaître. *Commentarii Pontificia Academia Scientiarum*, 3(21), 1–12.
- Lambert, D. (1996). Mgr Georges Lemaître et les “Amis de Jésus.” *Revue Théologique de Louvain*, 27, 309–343.
- Lambert, D. (2000). *Un atome d'univers: La vie et l'oeuvre de Georges Lemaître*. Lessius et Racine.
- Lambert, D. (2007). *L'itinéraire spirituel de Georges Lemaître*. Lessius.
- Lambert, D. (2018). Religious interferences at the origin of cosmology: The case of Georges Lemaître. *Forum: Supplement to Acta Philosophica*, 4, 149–168.
- Manneback, C., Godard, O., & Dirac, P. A. M., Lemaître, G., & Eddington, A. S. (Eds.). (1972). *L'Académie Pontificale des Sciences en mémoire de son second président Georges Lemaître* (Scripta Varia 36). Vatican.
- Université Catholique de Louvain. (1996). *Mgr Georges Lemaître savant et croyant: Actes du colloque tenu à Louvain-la-Neuve le 4 novembre 1994 [La physique d'Einstein, texte inédit de Georges Lemaître]*. Louvain-la-Neuve.